# Free Entropy for Free Gibbs Laws Given by Convex Potentials

David A. Jekel

University of California, Los Angeles

University of Virginia Apr. 23, 2019

We will discuss Voiculescu's free entropy of a non-commutative law  $\mu$  of an m-tuple of self-adjoint random variables. This is an analogue in free probability theory of the continuous entropy of a probability measure  $(\int -\rho \log \rho)$ .

We will discuss Voiculescu's free entropy of a non-commutative law  $\mu$  of an m-tuple of self-adjoint random variables. This is an analogue in free probability theory of the continuous entropy of a probability measure  $(\int -\rho \log \rho)$ .

Voiculescu defined two types of free entropy,  $\chi(\mu)$  (*microstates*) and  $\chi^*(\mu)$  (*non-microstates*). They both measure the "regularity" of the law  $\mu$ .

We will discuss Voiculescu's free entropy of a non-commutative law  $\mu$  of an m-tuple of self-adjoint random variables. This is an analogue in free probability theory of the continuous entropy of a probability measure  $(\int -\rho \log \rho)$ .

Voiculescu defined two types of free entropy,  $\chi(\mu)$  (*microstates*) and  $\chi^*(\mu)$  (*non-microstates*). They both measure the "regularity" of the law  $\mu$ .

They are based on two different viewpoints for classical entropy:  $\chi$  is based on the microstates interpretation of entropy and is defined by "counting" matrix approximations to  $\mu$ , while  $\chi^*$  is defined in terms of free Fisher information  $\Phi^*$ , which describes how  $\mu$  interacts with derivatives.

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_m)$  is a tuple of non-commutative self-adjoint random variables with law  $\mu$  and  $M = W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ .

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_m)$  is a tuple of non-commutative self-adjoint random variables with law  $\mu$  and  $M = W^*(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ .

 Based on χ or χ\*, one defines various notions of free entropy dimension. This is something like the dimension of the support of a measure. (For instance, if χ is finite, then microstates free entropy dimension would be m, and the same for non-microstates versions.)

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, ..., X_m)$  is a tuple of non-commutative self-adjoint random variables with law  $\mu$  and  $M = W^*(X_1, ..., X_m)$ .

- Based on χ or χ\*, one defines various notions of free entropy dimension. This is something like the dimension of the support of a measure. (For instance, if χ is finite, then microstates free entropy dimension would be *m*, and the same for non-microstates versions.)
- If δ<sub>0</sub>(X) > 1, then M has no Cartan subalgebras and no asymptotically central sequences [Voiculescu 1996]. In fact, if δ<sub>0</sub>(X) > 0, then M is prime [Ge 1998].

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, ..., X_m)$  is a tuple of non-commutative self-adjoint random variables with law  $\mu$  and  $M = W^*(X_1, ..., X_m)$ .

- Based on χ or χ\*, one defines various notions of free entropy dimension. This is something like the dimension of the support of a measure. (For instance, if χ is finite, then microstates free entropy dimension would be m, and the same for non-microstates versions.)
- If δ<sub>0</sub>(X) > 1, then M has no Cartan subalgebras and no asymptotically central sequences [Voiculescu 1996]. In fact, if δ<sub>0</sub>(X) > 0, then M is prime [Ge 1998].
- If Φ\* is finite, then *M* has no asymptotically central sequences [Dabrowski 2010].

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, ..., X_m)$  is a tuple of non-commutative self-adjoint random variables with law  $\mu$  and  $M = W^*(X_1, ..., X_m)$ .

- Based on χ or χ\*, one defines various notions of free entropy dimension. This is something like the dimension of the support of a measure. (For instance, if χ is finite, then microstates free entropy dimension would be m, and the same for non-microstates versions.)
- If δ<sub>0</sub>(X) > 1, then M has no Cartan subalgebras and no asymptotically central sequences [Voiculescu 1996]. In fact, if δ<sub>0</sub>(X) > 0, then M is prime [Ge 1998].
- If Φ\* is finite, then *M* has no asymptotically central sequences [Dabrowski 2010].
- $\delta^* = m$ , then every non-constant self-adjoint polynomial in  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  has diffuse spectral measure [Charlesworth-Shlyakhtenko 2016, Mai-Speicher-Weber 2017].

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, ..., X_m)$  is a tuple of non-commutative self-adjoint random variables with law  $\mu$  and  $M = W^*(X_1, ..., X_m)$ .

- Based on χ or χ\*, one defines various notions of free entropy dimension. This is something like the dimension of the support of a measure. (For instance, if χ is finite, then microstates free entropy dimension would be m, and the same for non-microstates versions.)
- If δ<sub>0</sub>(X) > 1, then M has no Cartan subalgebras and no asymptotically central sequences [Voiculescu 1996]. In fact, if δ<sub>0</sub>(X) > 0, then M is prime [Ge 1998].
- If Φ\* is finite, then *M* has no asymptotically central sequences [Dabrowski 2010].
- $\delta^* = m$ , then every non-constant self-adjoint polynomial in  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  has diffuse spectral measure [Charlesworth-Shlyakhtenko 2016, Mai-Speicher-Weber 2017].

(List adapted from Charlesworth-Nelson 2019 "Free Stein Discrepancy.")

• We hope that free entropy-related results will provide some sufficient or necessary conditions for M to be isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ , contain  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ , or be contained in  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ .

- We hope that free entropy-related results will provide some sufficient or necessary conditions for M to be isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ , contain  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ , or be contained in  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ .
- Having χ, χ\*, or Φ\* finite does *not* imply that that M is a free group factor. Counterexamples are provided by X + t<sup>1/2</sup>S where X = (X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>m</sub>) generates a property (T) von Neumann algebra, S is a freely independent semicircular tuple, and t is sufficiently small.

- We hope that free entropy-related results will provide some sufficient or necessary conditions for M to be isomorphic to  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ , contain  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ , or be contained in  $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ .
- Having χ, χ\*, or Φ\* finite does *not* imply that that M is a free group factor. Counterexamples are provided by X + t<sup>1/2</sup>S where X = (X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>m</sub>) generates a property (T) von Neumann algebra, S is a freely independent semicircular tuple, and t is sufficiently small.
- Hayes has used a related notion of one-bounded free entropy to study one-bounded von Neumann algebras and maximal amenable subalgebras of free group factors.

• We expect microstates free entropy to the be large *N* limit of normalized classical entropies of random matrix models that have good concentration, and free Fisher information to be the large *N* limit of normalized classical Fisher information.

- We expect microstates free entropy to the be large *N* limit of normalized classical entropies of random matrix models that have good concentration, and free Fisher information to be the large *N* limit of normalized classical Fisher information.
- Microstates free entropy defines the rate function for a large deviation principle describing the Gaussian unitary ensemble (see Biane-Capitaine-Guionnet 2003).

- We expect microstates free entropy to the be large *N* limit of normalized classical entropies of random matrix models that have good concentration, and free Fisher information to be the large *N* limit of normalized classical Fisher information.
- Microstates free entropy defines the rate function for a large deviation principle describing the Gaussian unitary ensemble (see Biane-Capitaine-Guionnet 2003).
- The results of this paper will be based on studying the asymptotic properties of random matrix models.

• We give more explicit statements of how free probability arises as the large *N* limit of classical probability, consistent with Voiculesu's original motivation.

- We give more explicit statements of how free probability arises as the large *N* limit of classical probability, consistent with Voiculesu's original motivation.
- Then we study the large N behavior of functions (e.g. solutions to PDE) related to the random matrix models and their entropy. We show that these functions are *asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials*.

- We give more explicit statements of how free probability arises as the large *N* limit of classical probability, consistent with Voiculesu's original motivation.
- Then we study the large *N* behavior of functions (e.g. solutions to PDE) related to the random matrix models and their entropy. We show that these functions are *asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials*.
- This means roughly that the behave asymptotically like a non-commutative function (e.g. NC polynomial rather than an entrywise function in the classical sense), and like the *same* non-commutative function for different values of *N*.

• Voiculescu: When does  $\chi = \chi^*$ ?

Image: Image:

- ∢ ∃ ▶

- Voiculescu: When does  $\chi = \chi^*$ ?
- Note χ being finite implies embeddability of M into R<sup>ω</sup>, so χ = χ\* always with no additional assumptions would solve Connes embedding. A more modest goal would be to prove χ = χ\* this under the assumption that some nice enough matrix models exist.

- Voiculescu: When does  $\chi = \chi^*$ ?
- Note χ being finite implies embeddability of M into R<sup>ω</sup>, so χ = χ\* always with no additional assumptions would solve Connes embedding. A more modest goal would be to prove χ = χ\* this under the assumption that some nice enough matrix models exist.
- Biane-Capitaine-Guionet 2003 showed that  $\chi \leq \chi^*$  always.

- Voiculescu: When does  $\chi = \chi^*$ ?
- Note χ being finite implies embeddability of M into R<sup>ω</sup>, so χ = χ\* always with no additional assumptions would solve Connes embedding. A more modest goal would be to prove χ = χ\* this under the assumption that some nice enough matrix models exist.
- Biane-Capitaine-Guionet 2003 showed that  $\chi \leq \chi^*$  always.
- Dabrowski 2017 showed that  $\chi = \chi^*$  for free Gibbs states given by nice enough convex potentials.

- Voiculescu: When does  $\chi = \chi^*$ ?
- Note χ being finite implies embeddability of M into R<sup>ω</sup>, so χ = χ\* always with no additional assumptions would solve Connes embedding. A more modest goal would be to prove χ = χ\* this under the assumption that some nice enough matrix models exist.
- Biane-Capitaine-Guionet 2003 showed that  $\chi \leq \chi^*$  always.
- Dabrowski 2017 showed that  $\chi = \chi^*$  for free Gibbs states given by nice enough convex potentials.
- The result of this paper is similar to Dabrowski's although our proof takes a PDE rather than SDE viewpoint.



#### Definition by Example

For groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the algebras  $L(G_1)$  and  $L(G_2)$  are freely independent in  $(L(G_1 * G_2), \tau)$ .

#### Definition by Example

For groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the algebras  $L(G_1)$  and  $L(G_2)$  are freely independent in  $(L(G_1 * G_2), \tau)$ .

**Free Central Limit Theorem:** There's a free central limit theorem with normal distribution replaced by semicircular distribution.

#### Definition by Example

For groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the algebras  $L(G_1)$  and  $L(G_2)$  are freely independent in  $(L(G_1 * G_2), \tau)$ .

**Free Central Limit Theorem:** There's a free central limit theorem with normal distribution replaced by semicircular distribution.

**Free Convolution:** If *X* and *Y* are classically independent, then  $\mu_{X+Y} = \mu_X * \mu_Y$ . If *X* and *Y* are freely independent, then  $\mu_{X+Y} = \mu_X \boxplus \mu_Y$ .

## What is the law of a tuple?

Classically, the law of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  given by

$$\mu_X(A)=P(X\in A).$$

# What is the law of a tuple?

Classically, the law of  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_m)$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  given by

$$\mu_X(A)=P(X\in A).$$

Assuming finite moments, this can be viewed as a map

$$\mu_X : \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \mapsto E[p(X_1,\ldots,X_m)].$$

Classically, the law of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  given by

$$\mu_X(A)=P(X\in A).$$

Assuming finite moments, this can be viewed as a map

$$\mu_X: \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \mapsto E[p(X_1,\ldots,X_m)].$$

In the non-commutative case, the *law of*  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_m) \in M^m_{sa}$  is defined as the map

$$\mu_X : \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_m \rangle \to \mathbb{C}, \quad p(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \mapsto \tau[p(X_1, \ldots, X_m)],$$

Classically, the law of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  given by

$$\mu_X(A)=P(X\in A).$$

Assuming finite moments, this can be viewed as a map

$$\mu_X: \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_m] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \mapsto E[p(X_1,\ldots,X_m)].$$

In the non-commutative case, the *law of*  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_m) \in M^m_{sa}$  is defined as the map

$$u_X : \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_m \rangle \to \mathbb{C}, \quad p(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \mapsto \tau[p(X_1, \ldots, X_m)],$$

The *moment topology* on laws is given by pointwise convergence on  $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_m \rangle$ .

 $\tau_N$  is the normalized trace on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ .

 $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the corresponding 2-norm, that is, for  $x \in M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^m$ , we set  $\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \tau_N(x_j^2)$ .

 $\|\cdot\|$  is the operator norm of a single matrix and  $\|x\|_{\infty}$  denotes the maximum of the operator norms of  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ .

 $\sigma_{N,t}$  denotes the law of *m* independent  $N \times N$  GUE matrices which each have mean zero and variance *t*.

 $\sigma_t$  denotes the non-commutative law of *m* freely independent semicirculars which each have mean zero and variance *t*.

# Asymptotic Approximation by Trace Polynomials

*Trace polynomials in*  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  are linear combinations of functions of the form  $p_0\tau(p_1)\ldots\tau(p_n)$  where  $p_j$  is a non-commutative polynomial in  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ . For example,

$$\tau(x_1x_2)x_1 + 3\tau(x_2^2)\tau(x_1x_3)x_3x_2 + 5\tau(x_3^2)$$
Trace polynomials in  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  are linear combinations of functions of the form  $p_0\tau(p_1)\ldots\tau(p_n)$  where  $p_j$  is a non-commutative polynomial in  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ . For example,

$$\tau(x_1x_2)x_1 + 3\tau(x_2^2)\tau(x_1x_3)x_3x_2 + 5\tau(x_3^2)$$

If p is a trace polynomial, then p defines a function  $M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^m \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ . We interpret  $\tau$  as the normalized trace on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$  and evaluate p at the point x. Trace polynomials in  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  are linear combinations of functions of the form  $p_0\tau(p_1)\ldots\tau(p_n)$  where  $p_j$  is a non-commutative polynomial in  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ . For example,

$$\tau(x_1x_2)x_1 + 3\tau(x_2^2)\tau(x_1x_3)x_3x_2 + 5\tau(x_3^2)$$

If p is a trace polynomial, then p defines a function  $M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^m \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ . We interpret  $\tau$  as the normalized trace on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$  and evaluate p at the point x.

More generally, if  $(M, \tau)$  is a tracial von Neumann algebra, then p defines a map  $M_{sa}^m \to M$ .

#### Definition

A sequence of functions  $\phi_N : M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^m \to M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^m$  is asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and R > 0, there exists an *m*-tuple of trace polynomials *f* such that

$$\limsup_{\substack{N \to \infty}} \sup_{\substack{x \in M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^m \\ \|x\|_{\infty} \le R}} \|\phi_N(x) - f(x)\|_2 \le \epsilon.$$

We make a similar definition for scalar-valued functions being approximated by scalar-valued trace polynomials.

• AATP is preserved under addition and scalar multiplication.

- AATP is preserved under addition and scalar multiplication.
- AATP is preserved under limits, that is, if  $\{\phi_{N,k}\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\{\phi_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  as  $k\to\infty$  in a certain sense, then  $\{\phi_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  would inherit AATP.

- AATP is preserved under addition and scalar multiplication.
- AATP is preserved under limits, that is, if  $\{\phi_{N,k}\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\{\phi_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  as  $k\to\infty$  in a certain sense, then  $\{\phi_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  would inherit AATP.
- AATP is preserved under convolution with the GUE law  $\sigma_{t,N}$ .

- AATP is preserved under addition and scalar multiplication.
- AATP is preserved under limits, that is, if  $\{\phi_{N,k}\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\{\phi_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  as  $k\to\infty$  in a certain sense, then  $\{\phi_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  would inherit AATP.
- AATP is preserved under convolution with the GUE law  $\sigma_{t,N}$ .
- AATP is preserved under composition. This is easy to prove, though a little unexpected because the approximation occurs on an operator norm ball but the error is measured in ||·||<sub>2</sub>.

- AATP is preserved under addition and scalar multiplication.
- AATP is preserved under limits, that is, if  $\{\phi_{N,k}\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\{\phi_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  as  $k\to\infty$  in a certain sense, then  $\{\phi_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  would inherit AATP.
- AATP is preserved under convolution with the GUE law  $\sigma_{t,N}$ .
- AATP is preserved under composition. This is easy to prove, though a little unexpected because the approximation occurs on an operator norm ball but the error is measured in ||·||<sub>2</sub>.
- AATP is preserved under solving ODE. That is, if we have a vector field with AATP, then the flow along this vector field also has AATP.

# Microstates Free Entropy $\chi$

Image: Image:

$$h(\mu) = -\int \rho \log \rho.$$

If  $\mu$  does not have a density, we set  $h(\mu) = -\infty$ .

$$h(\mu) = -\int 
ho \log 
ho.$$

If  $\mu$  does not have a density, we set  $h(\mu) = -\infty$ .

"Entropy measures regularity."

$$h(\mu) = -\int 
ho \log 
ho.$$

If  $\mu$  does not have a density, we set  $h(\mu) = -\infty$ .

"Entropy measures regularity."

**(**) If  $\mu$  is highly concentrated, then there is large negative entropy.

$$h(\mu) = -\int 
ho \log 
ho.$$

If  $\mu$  does not have a density, we set  $h(\mu) = -\infty$ .

"Entropy measures regularity."

- **(**) If  $\mu$  is highly concentrated, then there is large negative entropy.
- For mean zero and variance 1, the highest entropy is achieved by Gaussian.

$$h(\mu) = -\int 
ho \log 
ho.$$

If  $\mu$  does not have a density, we set  $h(\mu) = -\infty$ .

"Entropy measures regularity."

- **(**) If  $\mu$  is highly concentrated, then there is large negative entropy.
- For mean zero and variance 1, the highest entropy is achieved by Gaussian.
- **③** If you smooth  $\mu$  out by convolution, the entropy increases.

Since there's no nice integral formula for entropy in the free case, the definition of  $\chi$  is based on the microstates interpretation.

### Microstates Interpretation

Since there's no nice integral formula for entropy in the free case, the definition of  $\chi$  is based on the microstates interpretation.

Classical case: Given a vector in  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^m$ , let's define its empirical distribution as

$$\mu_{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{((x_{1})_{j},...,(x_{m})_{j})}.$$

Then  $\{x : \mu_x \text{ is close to } \mu\}$  has measure approximately  $\exp(-Nh(\mu))$ .

## Microstates Interpretation

Since there's no nice integral formula for entropy in the free case, the definition of  $\chi$  is based on the microstates interpretation.

Classical case: Given a vector in  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^m$ , let's define its empirical distribution as

$$\mu_{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{((x_{1})_{j},...,(x_{m})_{j})}.$$

Then  $\{x : \mu_x \text{ is close to } \mu\}$  has measure approximately  $\exp(-Nh(\mu))$ . Thus,  $h(\mu)$  can be expressed as

$$\inf_{(\text{nbhd's of }\mu)} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \operatorname{vol}\{x : \mu_x \text{ close to } \mu\}.$$

## Microstates Interpretation

Since there's no nice integral formula for entropy in the free case, the definition of  $\chi$  is based on the microstates interpretation.

Classical case: Given a vector in  $x = (x_1, ..., x_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^m$ , let's define its empirical distribution as

$$\mu_{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{((x_{1})_{j},...,(x_{m})_{j})}.$$

Then  $\{x : \mu_x \text{ is close to } \mu\}$  has measure approximately  $\exp(-Nh(\mu))$ . Thus,  $h(\mu)$  can be expressed as

$$\inf_{(\text{nbhd's of }\mu)} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \operatorname{vol}\{x : \mu_x \text{ close to } \mu\}.$$

Intuition: If  $\mu$  is more regular and spread out, then there are more microstates because most choices of N vectors are "evenly distributed."

David A. Jekel (UCLA)

Idea for free case: Replace  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (self-adjoints in  $L^{\infty}(\{1, ..., N\})$ ) by  $M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}$ .

(A) → (A

Idea for free case: Replace  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (self-adjoints in  $L^{\infty}(\{1, ..., N\})$ ) by  $M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}$ .

Given  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in M_N(\mathbb{C})^m$ , the *empirical distribution*  $\mu_x$  is the non-commutative law of x w.r.t. normalized trace on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ . For a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mu$  in the moment topology and R > 0, define

$$\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U}) = \{x : \|x_j\| \le R \text{ and } \mu \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Define

$$\chi(\mu) = \sup_{R>0} \inf_{\mathcal{U} \ni \mu} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{N^2} \log \operatorname{vol} \Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U}) + \frac{m}{2} \log N \right).$$

Idea for free case: Replace  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (self-adjoints in  $L^{\infty}(\{1, ..., N\})$ ) by  $M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}$ .

Given  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in M_N(\mathbb{C})^m$ , the *empirical distribution*  $\mu_x$  is the non-commutative law of x w.r.t. normalized trace on  $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ . For a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mu$  in the moment topology and R > 0, define

$$\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U}) = \{x : \|x_j\| \le R \text{ and } \mu \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Define

$$\chi(\mu) = \sup_{R>0} \inf_{\mathcal{U} \ni \mu} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{N^2} \log \operatorname{vol} \Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U}) + \frac{m}{2} \log N \right).$$

(Voiculescu)  $\chi$  has properties similar to h.

#### Lemma

Suppose that  $d\mu_N = e^{-N^2 V_N(x)} dx$ , where  $V_N : M_N(\mathbb{C})_{sa}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $|V_N(x)|$  is bounded by a constant times  $1 + ||x||^k$ , and that for some R we have  $\int_{||x||_{\infty}>R} (1 + ||x||_{\infty}^k) d\mu_N(x) \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ . Suppose that the law of x with respect to  $\tau_N$  converges in probability to the non-commutative law  $\lambda$ . Then  $\chi(\lambda) = \limsup_{N\to\infty} (N^{-2}h(\mu_N) + (m/2)\log N)$ .

• First, replace  $\mu_N$  by  $\mu_N$  truncated to an operator norm ball of radius R.

- First, replace  $\mu_N$  by  $\mu_N$  truncated to an operator norm ball of radius R.
- For any given neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\lambda$ , the measure  $\mu_N$  will be concentrated on the microstate space  $\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U})$ .

- First, replace  $\mu_N$  by  $\mu_N$  truncated to an operator norm ball of radius R.
- For any given neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\lambda$ , the measure  $\mu_N$  will be concentrated on the microstate space  $\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U})$ .
- $\{V_N\}$  can be approximated by a trace polynomial, which will be approximately constant on  $\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U})$  if  $\mathcal{U}$  is sufficiently small.

- First, replace  $\mu_N$  by  $\mu_N$  truncated to an operator norm ball of radius R.
- For any given neighborhood U of λ, the measure μ<sub>N</sub> will be concentrated on the microstate space Γ<sub>N,R</sub>(U).
- $\{V_N\}$  can be approximated by a trace polynomial, which will be approximately constant on  $\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U})$  if  $\mathcal{U}$  is sufficiently small.
- So the entropy of  $\mu_N$  should be approximately the entropy of the uniform distribution on  $\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U})$ , which is the log volume.

- First, replace  $\mu_N$  by  $\mu_N$  truncated to an operator norm ball of radius R.
- For any given neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\lambda$ , the measure  $\mu_N$  will be concentrated on the microstate space  $\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U})$ .
- $\{V_N\}$  can be approximated by a trace polynomial, which will be approximately constant on  $\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U})$  if  $\mathcal{U}$  is sufficiently small.
- So the entropy of  $\mu_N$  should be approximately the entropy of the uniform distribution on  $\Gamma_{N,R}(\mathcal{U})$ , which is the log volume.
- Divide by  $N^2$ , add  $(m/2) \log N$  and take the lim sup as  $N \to \infty$ .

## Non-microstates Free Entropy $\chi^*$

Image: Image:

*Classical case:* Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with density  $\rho$ . Let  $\gamma_t$  be the law of a Gaussian random vector with variance tI. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}h(\mu*\gamma_t) = \int |\nabla\rho_t|^2/\rho_t = \|\nabla\rho_t/\rho_t\|_{L^2(\mu*\gamma_t)}^2.$$

*Classical case:* Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with density  $\rho$ . Let  $\gamma_t$  be the law of a Gaussian random vector with variance tI. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}h(\mu*\gamma_t) = \int |\nabla\rho_t|^2/\rho_t = \|\nabla\rho_t/\rho_t\|_{L^2(\mu*\gamma_t)}^2.$$

The quantity  $\|\nabla \rho_t / \rho_t\|_{L^2(\mu * \gamma_t)}^2$  is called the *Fisher information* of  $\mu * \gamma_t$ . The entropy can be recovered by integrating the Fisher information. *Classical case:* Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with density  $\rho$ . Let  $\gamma_t$  be the law of a Gaussian random vector with variance tI. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}h(\mu*\gamma_t) = \int |\nabla\rho_t|^2/\rho_t = \|\nabla\rho_t/\rho_t\|_{L^2(\mu*\gamma_t)}^2.$$

The quantity  $\|\nabla \rho_t / \rho_t\|_{L^2(\mu * \gamma_t)}^2$  is called the *Fisher information* of  $\mu * \gamma_t$ . The entropy can be recovered by integrating the Fisher information.

Intuition: The Fisher information measures the regularity of  $\mu$  by looking at its derivatives.

In the free case, we don't know an analogue of density, so we want to rephrase the definition using integration by parts.

In the free case, we don't know an analogue of density, so we want to rephrase the definition using integration by parts.

Classical Fisher information is  $L^2$  norm of the conjugate variable  $\xi = (\nabla \rho / \rho)(X)$ , which is characterized by an integration-by-parts formula  $E[\xi f(X)] = E[\nabla f(X)]$ .

In the free case, we don't know an analogue of density, so we want to rephrase the definition using integration by parts.

Classical Fisher information is  $L^2$  norm of the conjugate variable  $\xi = (\nabla \rho / \rho)(X)$ , which is characterized by an integration-by-parts formula  $E[\xi f(X)] = E[\nabla f(X)]$ .

Voiculescu used the free version  $\tau[\xi_j f(X)] = \tau \otimes \tau[\mathcal{D}_{X_j} f(X)]$  to define the free conjugate variables and hence the free Fisher information.
In the free case, we don't know an analogue of density, so we want to rephrase the definition using integration by parts.

Classical Fisher information is  $L^2$  norm of the conjugate variable  $\xi = (\nabla \rho / \rho)(X)$ , which is characterized by an integration-by-parts formula  $E[\xi f(X)] = E[\nabla f(X)]$ .

Voiculescu used the free version  $\tau[\xi_j f(X)] = \tau \otimes \tau[\mathcal{D}_{X_j} f(X)]$  to define the free conjugate variables and hence the free Fisher information.

 $\chi^*(\mu)$  is defined by integrating the free Fisher information of  $\mu \boxplus \sigma_t$ , where  $\sigma_t$  is the law of a free semicircular family where each variable has mean zero and variance t.

In the case where  $d\mu_N(x) = (1/Z_N)e^{-N^2 V_N(x)} dx$ , the classical conjugate variables would be  $DV_N$  (up to normalization). So the normalized Fisher information would be  $\int ||DV_N||_2^2 d\mu_N$ .

In the case where  $d\mu_N(x) = (1/Z_N)e^{-N^2 V_N(x)} dx$ , the classical conjugate variables would be  $DV_N$  (up to normalization). So the normalized Fisher information would be  $\int ||DV_N||_2^2 d\mu_N$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $\mu_N$  be given by the potential  $V_N$ . Suppose that  $\|DV_N(x)\|_2^2$  is bounded by a constant times  $1 + \|x\|^k$ , and that for some R we have  $\int_{\|x\|_{\infty}>R} (1 + \|x\|_{\infty}^k) d\mu_N(x) \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ . Suppose that the law of xwith respect to  $\tau_N$  converges in probability to the non-commutative law  $\lambda$ . If  $\{DV_N\}$  has AATP, then the (normalized) classical Fisher information converges to the free Fisher information (and the latter is finite).

Image: Image:

• Suppose that  $f_k$  is a sequence of trace polynomials which as k increases provide better and better asymptotic approximations for  $DV_N$ .

- Suppose that  $f_k$  is a sequence of trace polynomials which as k increases provide better and better asymptotic approximations for  $DV_N$ .
- Then  $f_k$  will converge in  $L^2(\lambda)$  to some f.

- Suppose that  $f_k$  is a sequence of trace polynomials which as k increases provide better and better asymptotic approximations for  $DV_N$ .
- Then  $f_k$  will converge in  $L^2(\lambda)$  to some f.
- Also, f is a free conjugate variable for  $\lambda$  since the  $f_k$ 's approximately satisfy the integration by parts formula.

- Suppose that  $f_k$  is a sequence of trace polynomials which as k increases provide better and better asymptotic approximations for  $DV_N$ .
- Then  $f_k$  will converge in  $L^2(\lambda)$  to some f.
- Also, f is a free conjugate variable for  $\lambda$  since the  $f_k$ 's approximately satisfy the integration by parts formula.
- Then we check that  $\|DV_N\|_{L^2(\mu_N)} \to \|f\|_{L^2(\lambda)}$ .

# Main Results and Strategy

Image: A matrix and A matrix

• We have matrix models  $\mu_N$  such that the laws of the random matrices given by  $\mu$  converge in probability to the non-commutative law  $\lambda$ .

- We have matrix models  $\mu_N$  such that the laws of the random matrices given by  $\mu$  converge in probability to the non-commutative law  $\lambda$ .
- $V_N$  and  $DV_N$  do not grow too fast as  $||x|| \to \infty$ . We have reasonable tail bounds on the probability of large operator norm under  $\mu_N$ .

- We have matrix models  $\mu_N$  such that the laws of the random matrices given by  $\mu$  converge in probability to the non-commutative law  $\lambda$ .
- $V_N$  and  $DV_N$  do not grow too fast as  $||x|| \to \infty$ . We have reasonable tail bounds on the probability of large operator norm under  $\mu_N$ .
- $V_N$  and  $DV_N$  are asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials.

- We have matrix models μ<sub>N</sub> such that the laws of the random matrices given by μ converge in probability to the non-commutative law λ.
- $V_N$  and  $DV_N$  do not grow too fast as  $||x|| \to \infty$ . We have reasonable tail bounds on the probability of large operator norm under  $\mu_N$ .
- $V_N$  and  $DV_N$  are asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials.
- The laws  $\mu_N * \sigma_{t,N}$  satisfy all the same conditions.

- We have matrix models  $\mu_N$  such that the laws of the random matrices given by  $\mu$  converge in probability to the non-commutative law  $\lambda$ .
- $V_N$  and  $DV_N$  do not grow too fast as  $||x|| \to \infty$ . We have reasonable tail bounds on the probability of large operator norm under  $\mu_N$ .
- $V_N$  and  $DV_N$  are asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials.
- The laws  $\mu_N * \sigma_{t,N}$  satisfy all the same conditions.

Indeed, in the case,  $\chi(\lambda)$  would be the lim sup of the classical entropies. Since the classical Fisher information of  $\mu_N * \sigma_{t,N}$  would converge to the free Fisher information of  $\lambda \boxplus \sigma_t$ , then the classical entropy would also converge to  $\chi^*(\lambda)$ .

Image: A math a math

 Operator norm tail bounds for μ<sub>N</sub> would follow from exponential concentration for ||·||<sub>2</sub>-Lipschitz functions (e.g. coming from the log-Sobolev inequality), provided that the expectation of μ<sub>N</sub> is a multiple of the identity matrix [Guionnet and Maurel-Segala].

- Operator norm tail bounds for μ<sub>N</sub> would follow from exponential concentration for ||·||<sub>2</sub>-Lipschitz functions (e.g. coming from the log-Sobolev inequality), provided that the expectation of μ<sub>N</sub> is a multiple of the identity matrix [Guionnet and Maurel-Segala].
- Given this concentration of measure, the convergence of the NC law in probability as  $N \to \infty$  would be equivalent to convergence in expectation.

- Operator norm tail bounds for μ<sub>N</sub> would follow from exponential concentration for ||·||<sub>2</sub>-Lipschitz functions (e.g. coming from the log-Sobolev inequality), provided that the expectation of μ<sub>N</sub> is a multiple of the identity matrix [Guionnet and Maurel-Segala].
- Given this concentration of measure, the convergence of the NC law in probability as  $N \to \infty$  would be equivalent to convergence in expectation.
- The log-Sobolev inequality and exponential concentration are known to hold provided that  $V_N$  is uniformly convex ( $HV_N \ge c$  for some c > 0 independent of N). [Bakry-Emery, Herbst, Ledoux, etc.]

• If  $\{DV_N\}$  is asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials, then so is  $\{V_N - V_N(0)\}$ . You just integrate your approximating polynomial for  $DV_N$  along the straight-line path from 0 to x.

- If  $\{DV_N\}$  is asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials, then so is  $\{V_N V_N(0)\}$ . You just integrate your approximating polynomial for  $DV_N$  along the straight-line path from 0 to x.
- Concentration, convergence in expectation, and tail bounds are preserved under convolution by Gaussian. This is another lemma that is not too difficult.

## Claim 1

If  $DV_N$  is AATP, then  $\int \tau_N(p) d\mu_N$  converges as  $N \to \infty$  for any non-commutative polynomial p.

### Claim 1

If  $DV_N$  is AATP, then  $\int \tau_N(p) d\mu_N$  converges as  $N \to \infty$  for any non-commutative polynomial p.

Hence, there is some non-commutative law  $\lambda$  that arises as the large-N limit. We could take this as the definition of a free Gibbs state.

## Claim 1

If  $DV_N$  is AATP, then  $\int \tau_N(p) d\mu_N$  converges as  $N \to \infty$  for any non-commutative polynomial p.

Hence, there is some non-commutative law  $\lambda$  that arises as the large-N limit. We could take this as the definition of a free Gibbs state.

In the special case where  $V_N(x) = V(x) = \tau_N(p(x))$  for a fixed p that is a small or convex perturbation of quadratic, the existence and uniqueness of a NC law with conjugate variables DV(x) was shown in works of Guionnet, Maurel-Segala, Shlyaktenko, Dabrowski. They also deduce convergence of certain random matrix models.

## Claim 2

If  $DV_N$  is AATP, then the same holds for  $DV_{N,t}$ , where  $V_{N,t}$  is the potential corresponding to  $\mu_N * \sigma_{N,t}$ .

## Claim 2

If  $DV_N$  is AATP, then the same holds for  $DV_{N,t}$ , where  $V_{N,t}$  is the potential corresponding to  $\mu_N * \sigma_{N,t}$ .

If we can prove this, then  $\chi(\lambda) = \chi^*(\lambda)$ . Also, it's equal to the limit of the normalized classical entropies.

#### Theorem

Let  $V_N(x) - (c/2) \|x\|_2^2$  is convex and  $V_N(x) - (C/2) \|x\|_2^2$  is concave for some 0 < c < C. Let  $d\mu_N(x) = \frac{1}{Z_N} e^{-N^2 V_N(x)} dx$ . Suppose  $\{DV_N\}$  is AATP. Suppose that the expectation of  $\mu_N$  is bounded in operator norm as  $N \to \infty$ . Then

- $\mu(p) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \int \tau_N(p(x)) d\mu_N(x)$  exists for every non-commutative polynomial p.
- 2 The non-commutative law  $\lambda$  has finite free Fisher information and finite free entropy.
- The normalized Fisher information of μ<sub>N</sub> \* σ<sub>N,t</sub> converges to the free Fisher information of μ ⊞ σ<sub>t</sub> for every t ≥ 0.
- **•** The free Fisher information is locally Lipschitz in t.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Some of the Proof

Image: A mathematical states and the states and

Let's focus on the proof of Claim 2 (assuming Claim 1), since Claim 2 is harder and more interesting.

Let's focus on the proof of Claim 2 (assuming Claim 1), since Claim 2 is harder and more interesting.

Let  $\mu_{N,t} = \mu_N * \sigma_{N,t}$  and let  $V_{N,t}$  be the potential such that the density of  $\mu_{N,t}$  is  $(1/Z_N)e^{-N^2V_{N,t}}$ .

Let's focus on the proof of Claim 2 (assuming Claim 1), since Claim 2 is harder and more interesting.

Let  $\mu_{N,t} = \mu_N * \sigma_{N,t}$  and let  $V_{N,t}$  be the potential such that the density of  $\mu_{N,t}$  is  $(1/Z_N)e^{-N^2V_{N,t}}$ .

We know that the density of  $\mu_{N,t}$  evolves according to the heat equation (with  $(1/2N)\Delta$ ), but this does not immediately help us analyze  $DV_{N,t}$  asymptotically because of the dimension-dependent factor of  $N^2$  in the exponent.

Thus, we rewrite the equation in terms of  $V_{N,t}$ :

$$\partial_t V_{N,t} = \frac{1}{2N} \Delta V_{N,t} - \frac{1}{2} \| D V_{N,t} \|_2^2.$$

This is the normalization of the Laplacian that corresponds to convolution with GUE. So this is a dimension-independent equation for free probabilistic normalization.

Thus, we rewrite the equation in terms of  $V_{N,t}$ :

$$\partial_t V_{N,t} = \frac{1}{2N} \Delta V_{N,t} - \frac{1}{2} \| D V_{N,t} \|_2^2.$$

This is the normalization of the Laplacian that corresponds to convolution with GUE. So this is a dimension-independent equation for free probabilistic normalization.

Using PDE tools and the convexity assumptions, we will "build" an approximation to  $V_{N,t}$  by taking  $V_N$  and applying nice explicit operations that preserve AATP (that is, AATP for the gradient of V rather than V itself).

## Approximation of Solutions

As heuristic, recall that to solve the equation

$$\partial_t v = \frac{1}{2N} \Delta v,$$

we would use the Gaussian convolution semigroup  $P_t v = v * \sigma_{N,t}$ .

## Approximation of Solutions

As heuristic, recall that to solve the equation

$$\partial_t v = \frac{1}{2N} \Delta v,$$

we would use the Gaussian convolution semigroup  $P_t v = v * \sigma_{N,t}$ .

To solve the equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{2} \| D \mathbf{v} \|_2^2,$$

we would use the Hopf-Lax inf-convolution semigroup

$$Q_t v(x) = \inf_{y} \left[ v(y) + \frac{1}{2t} ||x - y||_2^2 \right].$$

(This is a well-known fact in PDE.)
The solution  $V_{N,t}$  can be obtained by combining these operations together:

$$V_{N,t} = \lim_{k\to\infty} (P_{t/k}Q_{t/k})^k V_N.$$

The paper gives an elementary but technical argument for this, which we will not explain in detail.

The solution  $V_{N,t}$  can be obtained by combining these operations together:

$$V_{N,t} = \lim_{k\to\infty} (P_{t/k}Q_{t/k})^k V_N.$$

The paper gives an elementary but technical argument for this, which we will not explain in detail. But note the following key points.

• The error estimates are dimension-independent. This is the whole point!

The solution  $V_{N,t}$  can be obtained by combining these operations together:

$$V_{N,t} = \lim_{k\to\infty} (P_{t/k}Q_{t/k})^k V_N.$$

The paper gives an elementary but technical argument for this, which we will not explain in detail. But note the following key points.

- The error estimates are dimension-independent. *This is the whole point!*
- The regularizing properties of  $P_t$  are not used here because they disappear as  $N \to \infty$ .

The solution  $V_{N,t}$  can be obtained by combining these operations together:

$$V_{N,t} = \lim_{k\to\infty} (P_{t/k}Q_{t/k})^k V_N.$$

The paper gives an elementary but technical argument for this, which we will not explain in detail. But note the following key points.

- The error estimates are dimension-independent. This is the whole point!
- The regularizing properties of  $P_t$  are not used here because they disappear as  $N \to \infty$ .
- It relies on the fact that  $P_t$  and  $Q_t$  preserve the space of functions with  $0 \le Hv \le C$ , and for such functions the gradient is automatically *C*-Lipchitz.

The solution  $V_{N,t}$  can be obtained by combining these operations together:

$$V_{N,t} = \lim_{k\to\infty} (P_{t/k}Q_{t/k})^k V_N.$$

The paper gives an elementary but technical argument for this, which we will not explain in detail. But note the following key points.

- The error estimates are dimension-independent. *This is the whole point!*
- The regularizing properties of  $P_t$  are not used here because they disappear as  $N \to \infty$ .
- It relies on the fact that  $P_t$  and  $Q_t$  preserve the space of functions with  $0 \le Hv \le C$ , and for such functions the gradient is automatically *C*-Lipchitz.
- The proof goes by showing that the limit exists as k ranges over powers of 2, and the limit is a viscosity solution.

# Inf-Convolution Preserves AATP

#### Lemma

## Let $0 \leq Hu_N \leq C$ . If $\{Du_N\}$ is AATP, then so is $\{D(Q_tu_N)\}$ .

Image: Image:

→ < ∃ →</p>

# Inf-Convolution Preserves AATP

#### Lemma

Let 
$$0 \le Hu_N \le C$$
. If  $\{Du_N\}$  is AATP, then so is  $\{D(Q_tu_N)\}$ .

## Proof.

The inf-convolution  $Q_t u$  is differentiable and satisfies

$$D(Q_t u)(x) = Du(x - tD(Q_t u)(x))$$

(This is derived from the fact that the minimizer in the definition of  $Q_t u$  has to be a critical point.)

# Inf-Convolution Preserves AATP

#### Lemma

Let 
$$0 \le Hu_N \le C$$
. If  $\{Du_N\}$  is AATP, then so is  $\{D(Q_tu_N)\}$ .

## Proof.

The inf-convolution  $Q_t u$  is differentiable and satisfies

$$D(Q_t u)(x) = Du(x - tD(Q_t u)(x))$$

(This is derived from the fact that the minimizer in the definition of  $Q_t u$  has to be a critical point.) Thus,  $D(Q_t u)(x)$  is a fixed point of  $y \mapsto Du(x - ty)$ , which is a contraction mapping when t < 1/C.

#### Lemma

Let 
$$0 \le Hu_N \le C$$
. If  $\{Du_N\}$  is AATP, then so is  $\{D(Q_tu_N)\}$ .

## Proof.

The inf-convolution  $Q_t u$  is differentiable and satisfies

$$D(Q_t u)(x) = Du(x - tD(Q_t u)(x))$$

(This is derived from the fact that the minimizer in the definition of  $Q_t u$  has to be a critical point.) Thus,  $D(Q_t u)(x)$  is a fixed point of  $y \mapsto Du(x - ty)$ , which is a contraction mapping when t < 1/C. So  $D(Q_t u)(x)$  can be obtained as  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_n(x)$  where  $\phi_0(x) = x$  and  $\phi_{n+1}(x) = Du(x - t\phi_n(x))$ , and the rate of convergence is dimension-independent.

#### Lemma

Let 
$$0 \le Hu_N \le C$$
. If  $\{Du_N\}$  is AATP, then so is  $\{D(Q_tu_N)\}$ .

### Proof.

The inf-convolution  $Q_t u$  is differentiable and satisfies

$$D(Q_t u)(x) = Du(x - tD(Q_t u)(x))$$

(This is derived from the fact that the minimizer in the definition of  $Q_t u$  has to be a critical point.) Thus,  $D(Q_t u)(x)$  is a fixed point of  $y \mapsto Du(x - ty)$ , which is a contraction mapping when t < 1/C. So  $D(Q_t u)(x)$  can be obtained as  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_n(x)$  where  $\phi_0(x) = x$  and  $\phi_{n+1}(x) = Du(x - t\phi_n(x))$ , and the rate of convergence is dimension-independent. Since AATP is preserved by composition and limits, the claim holds for t < 1/C.

#### Lemma

Let 
$$0 \le Hu_N \le C$$
. If  $\{Du_N\}$  is AATP, then so is  $\{D(Q_tu_N)\}$ .

## Proof.

The inf-convolution  $Q_t u$  is differentiable and satisfies

$$D(Q_t u)(x) = Du(x - tD(Q_t u)(x))$$

(This is derived from the fact that the minimizer in the definition of  $Q_t u$  has to be a critical point.) Thus,  $D(Q_t u)(x)$  is a fixed point of  $y \mapsto Du(x - ty)$ , which is a contraction mapping when t < 1/C. So  $D(Q_t u)(x)$  can be obtained as  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_n(x)$  where  $\phi_0(x) = x$  and  $\phi_{n+1}(x) = Du(x - t\phi_n(x))$ , and the rate of convergence is dimension-independent. Since AATP is preserved by composition and limits, the claim holds for t < 1/C. But  $Q_t$  preserves the class of functions with  $0 \le Hu \le C$  and  $Q_t$  is a semigroup, so the claim holds for all t.