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Voiculescu defined two types of free entropy, $\chi(\mu)$ (microstates) and $\chi^{*}(\mu)$ (non-microstates). They both measure the "regularity" of the law $\mu$.

They are based on two different viewpoints for classical entropy: $\chi$ is based on the microstates interpretation of entropy and is defined by "counting" matrix approximations to $\mu$, while $\chi^{*}$ is defined in terms of free Fisher information $\Phi^{*}$, which describes how $\mu$ interacts with derivatives.
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- Hayes has used a related notion of one-bounded free entropy to study one-bounded von Neumann algebras and maximal amenable subalgebras of free group factors.
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- Microstates free entropy defines the rate function for a large deviation principle describing the Gaussian unitary ensemble (see Biane-Capitaine-Guionnet 2003).
- The results of this paper will be based on studying the asymptotic properties of random matrix models.
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- We give more explicit statements of how free probability arises as the large $N$ limit of classical probability, consistent with Voiculesu's original motivation.
- Then we study the large $N$ behavior of functions (e.g. solutions to PDE) related to the random matrix models and their entropy. We show that these functions are asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials.
- This means roughly that the behave asymptotically like a non-commutative function (e.g. NC polynomial rather than an entrywise function in the classical sense), and like the same non-commutative function for different values of $N$.
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## Motivation - Main Question

- Voiculescu: When does $\chi=\chi^{*}$ ?
- Note $\chi$ being finite implies embeddability of $M$ into $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}$, so $\chi=\chi^{*}$ always with no additional assumptions would solve Connes embedding. A more modest goal would be to prove $\chi=\chi^{*}$ this under the assumption that some nice enough matrix models exist.
- Biane-Capitaine-Guionet 2003 showed that $\chi \leq \chi^{*}$ always.
- Dabrowski 2017 showed that $\chi=\chi^{*}$ for free Gibbs states given by nice enough convex potentials.
- The result of this paper is similar to Dabrowski's although our proof takes a PDE rather than SDE viewpoint.


## What is non-commutative probability?

| classical | non-commutative |
| :---: | :---: |
| $L^{\infty}(\Omega, P)$ | $W^{*}$-algebra $M$ |
| expectation $E$ | trace $\tau$ |
| bdd. real rand. var. $X$ | self-adjoint $X \in M$ |
| law of $X$ | spectral distribution of $X$ w.r.t. $\tau$ |
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## Definition by Example

For groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, the algebras $L\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $L\left(G_{2}\right)$ are freely independent in $\left(L\left(G_{1} * G_{2}\right), \tau\right)$.

Free Central Limit Theorem: There's a free central limit theorem with normal distribution replaced by semicircular distribution.

Free Convolution: If $X$ and $Y$ are classically independent, then $\mu_{X+Y}=\mu_{X} * \mu_{Y}$. If $X$ and $Y$ are freely independent, then $\mu_{X+Y}=\mu_{X} \boxplus \mu_{Y}$.
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In the non-commutative case, the law of $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right) \in M_{s a}^{m}$ is defined as the map

$$
\mu_{X}: \mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \mapsto \tau\left[p\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right)\right]
$$

The moment topology on laws is given by pointwise convergence on $\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\rangle$.

## Notation

$\tau_{N}$ is the normalized trace on $M_{N}(\mathbb{C})$.
$\|\cdot\|_{2}$ is the corresponding 2-norm, that is, for $x \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C})_{s a}^{m}$, we set $\|x\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{N}\left(x_{j}^{2}\right)$.
$\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm of a single matrix and $\|x\|_{\infty}$ denotes the maximum of the operator norms of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$.
$\sigma_{N, t}$ denotes the law of $m$ independent $N \times N$ GUE matrices which each have mean zero and variance $t$.
$\sigma_{t}$ denotes the non-commutative law of $m$ freely independent semicirculars which each have mean zero and variance $t$.
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If $p$ is a trace polynomial, then $p$ defines a function $M_{N}(\mathbb{C})_{s a}^{m} \rightarrow M_{N}(\mathbb{C})$. We interpret $\tau$ as the normalized trace on $M_{N}(\mathbb{C})$ and evaluate $p$ at the point $x$.

More generally, if $(M, \tau)$ is a tracial von Neumann algebra, then $p$ defines a map $M_{s a}^{m} \rightarrow M$.

## Asymptotic Approximation by Trace Polynomials

## Definition

A sequence of functions $\phi_{N}: M_{N}(\mathbb{C})_{s a}^{m} \rightarrow M_{N}(\mathbb{C})_{s a}^{m}$ is asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials if for every $\epsilon>0$ and $R>0$, there exists an $m$-tuple of trace polynomials $f$ such that

$$
\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\substack{x \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C})_{s a s}^{m} \\\|x\|_{\infty} \leq R}}\left\|\phi_{N}(x)-f(x)\right\|_{2} \leq \epsilon
$$

We make a similar definition for scalar-valued functions being approximated by scalar-valued trace polynomials.
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Consider sequences of functions $M_{N}(\mathbb{C})_{s a}^{m} \rightarrow M_{N}(\mathbb{C})_{s a}^{n}$ which are globally Lipschitz in $\|\cdot\|_{2}$.

- AATP is preserved under addition and scalar multiplication.
- AATP is preserved under limits, that is, if $\left\{\phi_{N, k}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\left\{\phi_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in a certain sense, then $\left\{\phi_{N}\right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ would inherit AATP.
- AATP is preserved under convolution with the GUE law $\sigma_{t, N}$.
- AATP is preserved under composition. This is easy to prove, though a little unexpected because the approximation occurs on an operator norm ball but the error is measured in $\|\cdot\|_{2}$.
- AATP is preserved under solving ODE. That is, if we have a vector field with AATP, then the flow along this vector field also has AATP.
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## What is classical entropy?

The continuous entropy of a probability measure $d \mu(x)=\rho(x) d x$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is given by

$$
h(\mu)=-\int \rho \log \rho .
$$

If $\mu$ does not have a density, we set $h(\mu)=-\infty$.
"Entropy measures regularity."
(1) If $\mu$ is highly concentrated, then there is large negative entropy.
(2) For mean zero and variance 1, the highest entropy is achieved by Gaussian.
(3) If you smooth $\mu$ out by convolution, the entropy increases.
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## Microstates Interpretation

Since there's no nice integral formula for entropy in the free case, the definition of $\chi$ is based on the microstates interpretation.

Classical case: Given a vector in $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{m}$, let's define its empirical distribution as

$$
\mu_{x}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{\left(\left(x_{1}\right)_{j}, \ldots,\left(x_{m}\right)_{j}\right)} .
$$

Then $\left\{x: \mu_{x}\right.$ is close to $\left.\mu\right\}$ has measure approximately $\exp (-N h(\mu))$. Thus, $h(\mu)$ can be expressed as

$$
\inf _{(\text {nbhd's of } \mu)} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \operatorname{vol}\left\{x: \mu_{x} \text { close to } \mu\right\} .
$$

Intuition: If $\mu$ is more regular and spread out, then there are more microstates because most choices of $N$ vectors are "evenly distributed."

## Microstates Free Entropy
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## Microstates Free Entropy

Idea for free case: Replace $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (self-adjoints in $L^{\infty}(\{1, \ldots, N\})$ ) by $M_{N}(\mathbb{C})_{\text {sa }}$.

Given $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C})^{m}$, the empirical distribution $\mu_{x}$ is the non-commutative law of $x$ w.r.t. normalized trace on $M_{N}(\mathbb{C})$. For a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mu$ in the moment topology and $R>0$, define

$$
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(Voiculescu) $\chi$ has properties similar to $h$.
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## Free Entropy as the Limit of Classical Entropy

The idea of the proof is as follows.

- First, replace $\mu_{N}$ by $\mu_{N}$ truncated to an operator norm ball of radius $R$.
- For any given neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\lambda$, the measure $\mu_{N}$ will be concentrated on the microstate space $\Gamma_{N, R}(\mathcal{U})$.
- $\left\{V_{N}\right\}$ can be approximated by a trace polynomial, which will be approximately constant on $\Gamma_{N, R}(\mathcal{U})$ if $\mathcal{U}$ is sufficiently small.
- So the entropy of $\mu_{N}$ should be approximately the entropy of the uniform distribution on $\Gamma_{N, R}(\mathcal{U})$, which is the log volume.
- Divide by $N^{2}$, add $(m / 2) \log N$ and take the $\lim \sup$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.


## Non-microstates Free Entropy $\chi^{*}$

## Classical Fisher Information
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Classical case: Let $\mu$ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with density $\rho$. Let $\gamma_{t}$ be the law of a Gaussian random vector with variance $t /$. Then

$$
\frac{d}{d t} h\left(\mu * \gamma_{t}\right)=\int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2} / \rho_{t}=\left\|\nabla \rho_{t} / \rho_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu * \gamma_{t}\right)}^{2}
$$

The quantity $\left\|\nabla \rho_{t} / \rho_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu * \gamma_{t}\right)}^{2}$ is called the Fisher information of $\mu * \gamma_{t}$. The entropy can be recovered by integrating the Fisher information.

Intuition: The Fisher information measures the regularity of $\mu$ by looking at its derivatives.

## Non-Microstates Free Entropy

In the free case, we don't know an analogue of density, so we want to rephrase the definition using integration by parts.

## Non-Microstates Free Entropy

In the free case, we don't know an analogue of density, so we want to rephrase the definition using integration by parts.

Classical Fisher information is $L^{2}$ norm of the conjugate variable $\xi=(\nabla \rho / \rho)(X)$, which is characterized by an integration-by-parts formula $E[\xi f(X)]=E[\nabla f(X)]$.

## Non-Microstates Free Entropy

In the free case, we don't know an analogue of density, so we want to rephrase the definition using integration by parts.

Classical Fisher information is $L^{2}$ norm of the conjugate variable $\xi=(\nabla \rho / \rho)(X)$, which is characterized by an integration-by-parts formula $E[\xi f(X)]=E[\nabla f(X)]$.

Voiculescu used the free version $\tau\left[\xi_{j} f(X)\right]=\tau \otimes \tau\left[\mathcal{D}_{X_{j}} f(X)\right]$ to define the free conjugate variables and hence the free Fisher information.

## Non-Microstates Free Entropy

In the free case, we don't know an analogue of density, so we want to rephrase the definition using integration by parts.

Classical Fisher information is $L^{2}$ norm of the conjugate variable $\xi=(\nabla \rho / \rho)(X)$, which is characterized by an integration-by-parts formula $E[\xi f(X)]=E[\nabla f(X)]$.

Voiculescu used the free version $\tau\left[\xi_{j} f(X)\right]=\tau \otimes \tau\left[\mathcal{D}_{X_{j}} f(X)\right]$ to define the free conjugate variables and hence the free Fisher information.
$\chi^{*}(\mu)$ is defined by integrating the free Fisher information of $\mu \boxplus \sigma_{t}$, where $\sigma_{t}$ is the law of a free semicircular family where each variable has mean zero and variance $t$.

## Convergence of Fisher Information

In the case where $d \mu_{N}(x)=\left(1 / Z_{N}\right) e^{-N^{2} V_{N}(x)} d x$, the classical conjugate variables would be $D V_{N}$ (up to normalization). So the normalized Fisher information would be $\int\left\|D V_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2} d \mu_{N}$.
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In the case where $d \mu_{N}(x)=\left(1 / Z_{N}\right) e^{-N^{2} V_{N}(x)} d x$, the classical conjugate variables would be $D V_{N}$ (up to normalization). So the normalized Fisher information would be $\int\left\|D V_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2} d \mu_{N}$.

## Lemma

Let $\mu_{N}$ be given by the potential $V_{N}$. Suppose that $\left\|D V_{N}(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}$ is bounded by a constant times $1+\|x\|^{k}$, and that for some $R$ we have $\int_{\|x\|_{\infty}>R}\left(1+\|x\|_{\infty}^{k}\right) d \mu_{N}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that the law of $x$ with respect to $\tau_{N}$ converges in probability to the non-commutative law $\lambda$. If $\left\{D V_{N}\right\}$ has AATP, then the (normalized) classical Fisher information converges to the free Fisher information (and the latter is finite).
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## Convergence of Fisher Information

Sketch of proof:

- Suppose that $f_{k}$ is a sequence of trace polynomials which as $k$ increases provide better and better asymptotic approximations for $D V_{N}$.
- Then $f_{k}$ will converge in $L^{2}(\lambda)$ to some $f$.
- Also, $f$ is a free conjugate variable for $\lambda$ since the $f_{k}$ 's approximately satisfy the integration by parts formula.
- Then we check that $\left\|D V_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{N}\right)} \rightarrow\|f\|_{L^{2}(\lambda)}$.
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- We have matrix models $\mu_{N}$ such that the laws of the random matrices given by $\mu$ converge in probability to the non-commutative law $\lambda$.
- $V_{N}$ and $D V_{N}$ do not grow too fast as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$. We have reasonable tail bounds on the probability of large operator norm under $\mu_{N}$.
- $V_{N}$ and $D V_{N}$ are asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials.
- The laws $\mu_{N} * \sigma_{t, N}$ satisfy all the same conditions.

Indeed, in the case, $\chi(\lambda)$ would be the limsup of the classical entropies. Since the classical Fisher information of $\mu_{N} * \sigma_{t, N}$ would converge to the free Fisher information of $\lambda \boxplus \sigma_{t}$, then the classical entropy would also converge to $\chi^{*}(\lambda)$.
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When can we check these conditions are true?

- Operator norm tail bounds for $\mu_{N}$ would follow from exponential concentration for $\|\cdot\|_{2}$-Lipschitz functions (e.g. coming from the log-Sobolev inequality), provided that the expectation of $\mu_{N}$ is a multiple of the identity matrix [Guionnet and Maurel-Segala].
- Given this concentration of measure, the convergence of the NC law in probability as $N \rightarrow \infty$ would be equivalent to convergence in expectation.
- The log-Sobolev inequality and exponential concentration are known to hold provided that $V_{N}$ is uniformly convex ( $H V_{N} \geq c$ for some $c>0$ independent of $N$ ). [Bakry-Emery, Herbst, Ledoux, etc.]
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## The Upshot

- If $\left\{D V_{N}\right\}$ is asymptotically approximable by trace polynomials, then so is $\left\{V_{N}-V_{N}(0)\right\}$. You just integrate your approximating polynomial for $D V_{N}$ along the straight-line path from 0 to $x$.
- Concentration, convergence in expectation, and tail bounds are preserved under convolution by Gaussian. This is another lemma that is not too difficult.
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## Claim 1

If $D V_{N}$ is AATP, then $\int \tau_{N}(p) d \mu_{N}$ converges as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for any non-commutative polynomial $p$.

Hence, there is some non-commutative law $\lambda$ that arises as the large- $N$ limit. We could take this as the definition of a free Gibbs state.

In the special case where $V_{N}(x)=V(x)=\tau_{N}(p(x))$ for a fixed $p$ that is a small or convex perturbation of quadratic, the existence and uniqueness of a NC law with conjugate variables $D V(x)$ was shown in works of Guionnet, Maurel-Segala, Shlyaktenko, Dabrowski. They also deduce convergence of certain random matrix models.
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## Main Goals

## Claim 2

If $D V_{N}$ is AATP, then the same holds for $D V_{N, t}$, where $V_{N, t}$ is the potential corresponding to $\mu_{N} * \sigma_{N, t}$.

If we can prove this, then $\chi(\lambda)=\chi^{*}(\lambda)$. Also, it's equal to the limit of the normalized classical entropies.

## Main Result

## Theorem

Let $V_{N}(x)-(c / 2)\|x\|_{2}^{2}$ is convex and $V_{N}(x)-(C / 2)\|x\|_{2}^{2}$ is concave for some $0<c<C$. Let $d \mu_{N}(x)=\frac{1}{Z_{N}} e^{-N^{2} V_{N}(x)} d x$. Suppose $\left\{D V_{N}\right\}$ is AATP. Suppose that the expectation of $\mu_{N}$ is bounded in operator norm as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then
(1) $\mu(p):=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \tau_{N}(p(x)) d \mu_{N}(x)$ exists for every non-commutative polynomial $p$.
(2) The non-commutative law $\lambda$ has finite free Fisher information and finite free entropy.
(3) $\chi(\lambda)=\chi^{*}(\lambda)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[N^{-2} h\left(\mu_{N}\right)+(m / 2) \log N\right]$.
(9) The normalized Fisher information of $\mu_{N} * \sigma_{N, t}$ converges to the free Fisher information of $\mu \boxplus \sigma_{t}$ for every $t \geq 0$.
(5) The free Fisher information is locally Lipschitz in $t$.

## Some of the Proof
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Let $\mu_{N, t}=\mu_{N} * \sigma_{N, t}$ and let $V_{N, t}$ be the potential such that the density of $\mu_{N, t}$ is $\left(1 / Z_{N}\right) e^{-N^{2} V_{N, t}}$.

We know that the density of $\mu_{N, t}$ evolves according to the heat equation (with $(1 / 2 N) \Delta$ ), but this does not immediately help us analyze $D V_{N, t}$ asymptotically because of the dimension-dependent factor of $N^{2}$ in the exponent.
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\partial_{t} V_{N, t}=\frac{1}{2 N} \Delta V_{N, t}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|D V_{N, t}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

This is the normalization of the Laplacian that corresponds to convolution with GUE. So this is a dimension-independent equation for free probabilistic normalization.
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Thus, we rewrite the equation in terms of $V_{N, t}$ :

$$
\partial_{t} V_{N, t}=\frac{1}{2 N} \Delta V_{N, t}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|D V_{N, t}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

This is the normalization of the Laplacian that corresponds to convolution with GUE. So this is a dimension-independent equation for free probabilistic normalization.

Using PDE tools and the convexity assumptions, we will "build" an approximation to $V_{N, t}$ by taking $V_{N}$ and applying nice explicit operations that preserve AATP (that is, AATP for the gradient of $V$ rather than $V$ itself).

## Approximation of Solutions

As heuristic, recall that to solve the equation

$$
\partial_{t} v=\frac{1}{2 N} \Delta v
$$

we would use the Gaussian convolution semigroup $P_{t} v=v * \sigma_{N, t}$.

## Approximation of Solutions

As heuristic, recall that to solve the equation

$$
\partial_{t} v=\frac{1}{2 N} \Delta v
$$

we would use the Gaussian convolution semigroup $P_{t} v=v * \sigma_{N, t}$.

To solve the equation

$$
\partial_{t} v=-\frac{1}{2}\|D v\|_{2}^{2}
$$

we would use the Hopf-Lax inf-convolution semigroup

$$
Q_{t} v(x)=\inf _{y}\left[v(y)+\frac{1}{2 t}\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}\right] .
$$

(This is a well-known fact in PDE.)
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The solution $V_{N, t}$ can be obtained by combining these operations together:
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V_{N, t}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(P_{t / k} Q_{t / k}\right)^{k} V_{N}
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The paper gives an elementary but technical argument for this, which we will not explain in detail.
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## Approximation of Solutions

The solution $V_{N, t}$ can be obtained by combining these operations together:

$$
V_{N, t}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(P_{t / k} Q_{t / k}\right)^{k} V_{N}
$$

The paper gives an elementary but technical argument for this, which we will not explain in detail. But note the following key points.

- The error estimates are dimension-independent. This is the whole point!
- The regularizing properties of $P_{t}$ are not used here because they disappear as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- It relies on the fact that $P_{t}$ and $Q_{t}$ preserve the space of functions with $0 \leq H v \leq C$, and for such functions the gradient is automatically $C$-Lipchitz.
- The proof goes by showing that the limit exists as $k$ ranges over powers of 2 , and the limit is a viscosity solution.
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$$

(This is derived from the fact that the minimizer in the definition of $Q_{t} u$ has to be a critical point.) Thus, $D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)$ is a fixed point of $y \mapsto D u(x-t y)$, which is a contraction mapping when $t<1 / C$. So $D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)$ can be obtained as $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n}(x)$ where $\phi_{0}(x)=x$ and $\phi_{n+1}(x)=D u\left(x-t \phi_{n}(x)\right)$, and the rate of convergence is dimension-independent.

## Inf-Convolution Preserves AATP

## Lemma

$$
\text { Let } 0 \leq H u_{N} \leq C \text {. If }\left\{D u_{N}\right\} \text { is AATP, then so is }\left\{D\left(Q_{t} u_{N}\right)\right\} \text {. }
$$

## Proof.

The inf-convolution $Q_{t} u$ is differentiable and satisfies

$$
D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)=D u\left(x-t D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)\right)
$$

(This is derived from the fact that the minimizer in the definition of $Q_{t} u$ has to be a critical point.) Thus, $D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)$ is a fixed point of $y \mapsto D u(x-t y)$, which is a contraction mapping when $t<1 / C$. So $D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)$ can be obtained as $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n}(x)$ where $\phi_{0}(x)=x$ and $\phi_{n+1}(x)=D u\left(x-t \phi_{n}(x)\right)$, and the rate of convergence is dimension-independent. Since AATP is preserved by composition and limits, the claim holds for $t<1 / C$.

## Inf-Convolution Preserves AATP

## Lemma

$$
\text { Let } 0 \leq H u_{N} \leq C \text {. If }\left\{D u_{N}\right\} \text { is AATP, then so is }\left\{D\left(Q_{t} u_{N}\right)\right\} \text {. }
$$

## Proof.

The inf-convolution $Q_{t} u$ is differentiable and satisfies

$$
D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)=D u\left(x-t D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)\right)
$$

(This is derived from the fact that the minimizer in the definition of $Q_{t} u$ has to be a critical point.) Thus, $D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)$ is a fixed point of $y \mapsto D u(x-t y)$, which is a contraction mapping when $t<1 / C$. So $D\left(Q_{t} u\right)(x)$ can be obtained as $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n}(x)$ where $\phi_{0}(x)=x$ and $\phi_{n+1}(x)=D u\left(x-t \phi_{n}(x)\right)$, and the rate of convergence is dimension-independent. Since AATP is preserved by composition and limits, the claim holds for $t<1 / C$. But $Q_{t}$ preserves the class of functions with $0 \leq H u \leq C$ and $Q_{t}$ is a semigroup, so the claim holds for all $t$.

